Al Agents that can collaborate in (virtual) visual worlds



Unnat Jain



We live in a collaborative world







Collaboration in Robotics









High-level behaviors:

Collaboration and communication Long-horizon planning Complex interactive tasks

(Virtual) Embodied Environments



AI2THOR (Kolve et al. 2017)



Matterport3D (Chang et al. 2017)



Gibson (Xia et al. 2018)





High-level behaviors: Collaboration and communication Long-horizon planning Complex interactive tasks

Embodied Agents



Visual Navigation Instruction Following Question Answering Zhu et al. 2016, Gupta et al. 2017 Anderson et al. 2018 Das et al. 2018, Gordon et al. 2018

Image credits: AlHabitat





[Abstracted away]



High-level behaviors: Collaboration and communication Long-horizon planning Complex interactive tasks





Prior work

Visually navigate

Communicate

Coordinate



Single agent







Static

Simple/abstract

Zhu et al. ICRA 2017 Gupta et al. CVPR 2017 Das et al. ICCV 2017 Jain et al. CVPR 2018 Lowe et al. NeurIPS 2017 Mordatch and Abbeel AAAI 2018



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > GRIDTOPIX

arXiv



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > GRIDTOPIX arXiv



1. First collaborative embodied task - FurnLift



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv



2. Interpretation of emergent communication



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv



Explicitly sending messages to communicate

Other agent is on the opposite side of TV. So let me try pickup!

Implicit

Visibility of other agent communicates information

3. Effect of communication



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv



4. Intricately coordinated embodied task - FurnMove



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv



5. Richer representation of multi-agent policy



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv



6. Teacher-Student learning



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > GRIDTOPIX arXiv



6. Learning policies from minimal supervision



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > GRIDTOPIX arXiv

1. First collaborative embodied task – FurnLift

- 2. Interpretation of emergent communication
- 3. Effect of communication

Intricately coordinated embodied task – FurnMove
 Richer representation of multi-agent policy

Learning policies from minimal supervision
 Leveraging perfect-perception gridworlds for training



* Agents have only egocentric visual inputs





* Agents have only egocentric visual inputs



Agents coordinate to lift/pickup

the TV at the same time



* Agents have only egocentric visual inputs

Previous tasks:

- Navigation in static mesh
- Stationary environments

Furniture Lifting

- ✓ Interaction & coordination
- ✓ Non-stationary environment & credit assignment

Agent observations







Top-down view

Not available to the agents

(for illustration only)

Agent Policy for FurnLift

Does not scale

Model complexityPolicy parameters



(Outer product space)

(Pooled observations)

-Central agent Decentralized agent <u>Communication issues</u>
High bandwidth
Lost packets

Agent Policy for FurnLift



Decentralized agent





Agent 1 and Agent 21. Navigate to TV2. Team Lift

Agent 1 quickly finds it

Agent 2 is on the wrong side

Need for communication

Two Body Network



Two Body Network



Message-based or 'explicit communication'

Two Body Network



Message-based or 'explicit communication'

Communication and Belief Refinement

Talk stage



Communication and Belief Refinement

Talk stage



Communication and Belief Refinement

Talk stage



Talk and reply modules



Explicit Communication Helps



Without explicit communication



Total steps: 165 Unsuccessful pickups: 6





Total steps: 86 Unsuccessful pickups: 0



Implicit Communication Helps

Other agent is on the opposite side of TV. So let me try pickup!

Visibility of other agent communicates information



Without any communication:

Episode Unsuccessful

Effect of communication



No Comm



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> GRIDTOPIX arXiv

Takeaways

- Study collaborative behavior in visual environments
- Explicit and implicit communication are helpful
- Emergence of interpretable communication pattern



Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

GRIDTOPIX arXiv First collaborative embodied task – FurnLift
 Interpretation of emergent communication
 Effect of communication

Intricately coordinated embodied task – FurnMove
 Richer representation of multi-agent policy

Learning policies from minimal supervision
 Leveraging perfect-perception gridworlds for training

Intricately coordinated embodied task

Designing a harder test for collaborative agents:

- Furniture Lifting requires only one step of action coordination.
- Get agents to coordinate at **every** step.








Solving FurnMove is Challenging

Single (marginal) policy per agent – 'Marginal' Agents



Central Model?

Does not scale





(Pooled observations)

<u>Communication issues</u>
High bandwidth
Lost packets



Rank 1

Example

Optimal Joint

			_	
	0.05	0	0	0.05
	0.05	0	0.15	0
$\Pi^* =$	0	0	0	0.15
	0	0.4	0.05	0

Agent 1 Policies Agent 2 Policies α π_1^2 0.1 π_1^1 0.3 0.7 0 0 0 0 0 1 π_2^2 0.6 π_2^1 0.9 0 0.1 0.4 0 0 0.6 0 0.2 π_3^1 π_3^2 0.5 0.5 0 0 0 1 0 0 0.1 π_4^1 0.33 0.33 0.33 π_4^2 0.8 0.2 0 0 0 0.05 0.05 0 0 0.05 0 0.15 0 $\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \alpha_i \cdot (\pi_i^1 \otimes \pi_i^2) =$ Effective Joint 0 0 0 0.15

0.4

0.05

0

0

Mixture of Marginals

Policy

SYNC-Policies

How to sample from $\sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_i \cdot (\pi_i^1 \otimes \pi_i^2)$ in practice?

- 1. Compute α and K policies per agent.
- 2. Sample $1 \le i \le K$ with probability α_i . Use a shared seed so both agents sample the same *i*.
- 3. Sample actions from π_i^1 and π_i^2 independently.



Action Space per Agent



Joint action space



space



space

		Si n	Single-agent navigation +			t Move With Object				RO		M Ob	ove ject	
		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
	MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
d'	RotateLeft	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
<u> </u>	RotateRight	x	Х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
0	Hold					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x
<u></u>	MWOAhead	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
σ 	MWORight	Х	Х	Х	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
_	MWOBack	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x
G	MWOLeft	Х	Х	X	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x
Ĩ	RORight	Х	Х	X	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
•	MOAhead	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x
	MORight	Х	Х	X	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x
	MOBack	Х	х	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	x
-	MOLeft	х	х	x	x	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x



space

		Si n	Single-agent navigation			t Move With Object				RO		M Ob	ove ject	
		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
	MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
a'	RotateLeft	х	Х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
<u> </u>	RotateRight	х	Х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
	Hold					х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
5	MWOAhead	Х	Х	X	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х
	MWORight	х	Х	X	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
<u> </u>	MWOBack	х	Х	X	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Je C	MWOLeft	х	Х	X	x	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х
Ĭ	RORight	х	Х	X	x	х	х	х	х		Х	х	х	х
•	MOAhead	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x
	MORight	х	Х	x	x	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	x
	MOBack	х	Х	x	x	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	x
-	MOLeft	Х	х	X	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	x



space

		Si n	Single-agent navigation			t Move With Object				RO		M Ob	ove ject	
		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
'	MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
u u	RotateLeft	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
<u> </u>	RotateRight	х	Х	х		х	х	x	x	х	х	х	х	х
5	Hold					х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
<u>ן</u>	MWOAhead	X	Х	X	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
U -	MWORight	х	Х	X	X	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
د	MWOBack	x	Х	Х	X	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
D D	MWOLeft	x	Х	X	X	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	x
Č	RORight	Х	Х	x	X	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	х
•	MOAhead	х	Х	х	X	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
	MORight	х	Х	Х	X	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
	MOBack	Х	Х	х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
	MOLeft	Х	Х	x	X	Х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х



space

		Si n	Single-agent navigation				t Move With Object					M Ob	ove ject	
		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
	MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
a ¹	RotateLeft	х	х	х		х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х
	RotateRight	х	х	х		х	х	х	x	х	х	х	х	х
lo	Hold					х	x	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х
<u>t</u>	MWOAhead	х	Х	х	х		Х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х
H a	MWORight	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
ť	MWOBack	х	х	X	х	х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х
ger	MWOLeft	х	х	x	х	х	Х	х		х	Х	х	Х	х
Ă	RORight	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	х	Х	х
	MOAhead	х	х	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х
	MORight	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	x
	MOBack	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	x
	MOLeft	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х



space

High Rank

Agent 1 action (a^1)

10% actions are valid

	Si n	ngle avig	-age atio	ent n	M	love Ob	Wi ject	th	RO		M Ob	ove ject	
	MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
RotateLeft	х	х	х		х	X	X	х	х	х	х	х	х
RotateRight	х	х	х		х	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	x
Hold					х	*	X	Х	X	Х	х	Х	х
MWOAhead	Х	Х	Х	х		х	\mathbf{X}	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х
MWORight	х	х	х	X	х		х	X	х	х	х	х	х
MWOBack	х	x	x	X	х	х		х		х	х	х	х
MWOLeft	х	х	Х	X	х	х	х		х	X	х	Х	х
RORight	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	X	Х	Х
MOAhead	х	х	х	X	х	X	х	Х	х		х	X	Х
MORight	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	x	х	Х	X	Х		Х	X
MOBack	Х	Х	х	x	х	х	х	х	x	Х	Х		Х
MOLeft	Х	х	х	x	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
				•		-				1	2		



space

		Si n	ngle avig	-age atio	ent n		love Ob	Wi ject	th	RO		M Ob	ove ject	
		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
	MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
al	RotateLeft	х	х	х		х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х
	RotateRight	х	х	X		х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х
<u>o</u>	Hold					х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х	х	X
t d	MWOAhead	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	Х	х	X
- 9 -	MWORight	Х	Х	х	Х		х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	х	X
_۲	MWOBack	х	х	X	х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х
ger	MWOLeft	х	х	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	х	х	X
Ř	RORight	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X	X
	MOAhead	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	
	MORight	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	х	X
	MOBack	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	X
	MOLeft	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х		Х



space

		Si n	ngle avig	-age atio	ent n		love Ob	Wi ject	th	RO		M Ob	ove ject	
		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
	MAhead	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
a	RotateLeft	Х	Х	Х		х	х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	х
	RotateRight	х	х	×		х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х
<u>o</u>	Hold					х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	X
g	MWOAhead	Х	Х	X	х					х	Х	х	х	Х
9 —	MWORight	х	Х	х	х					х	Х	х	х	х
Ľ,	MWOBack	х	Х	X	х					х	Х	х	х	х
Ser	MWOLeft	Х	х	х	Х					х	Х	Х	х	X
Ř	RORight	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	X
	MOAhead	Х	х	Х	х	x	Х	х	Х	Х				
	MORight	Х	Х	Х	Х	x	х	х	Х	х				
	MOBack	Х	Х	Х	Х	x	х	x	Х	х				
	MOLeft	х	х	X	х	х	х	х	х	х				



space	Si n	Single-agent navigation				love Ob	Wi ject	th	RO) Move Object			
	MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Hold	MWOAhead	MWORight	MWOBack	MWOLeft	RORight	MOAhead	MORight	MOBack	MOLeft
MAhead	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
RotateLeft	х	х	х		х	х	x	x	х	х	х	х	х
RotateRight	х	х	х		х	х	x	X	х	х	х	х	х
Hold					х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	х
MWOAhead	Х	Х	Х	X					х	Х	Х	Х	х
MWORight	х	Х	Х	X					х	Х	х	х	х
MWOBack	х	Х	х	X					х	Х	х	х	х
MWOLeft	х	Х	х	X					х	Х	х	х	х
RORight	Х	Х	х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	х
MOAhead	х	Х	Х	X	х	х	х	X	х				
MORight	х	х	x	X	х	х	x	X	х				
MOBack	Х	Х	Х	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
MOLeft	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	х				



		Si n	ngle avig	-age atio	ent n	
ion (a^1)		MAhead	RotateLeft	RotateRight	Pass	PickUp
t	MAhead					х
0 	RotateLeft					Х
Ľ,	RotateRight					Х
ger	Pass					Х
Ĩ	PickUp	Х	Х	Х	Х	

Agent 2 action (a^2)

Agent 2 action (a^2)

10% actions are valid

64% actions are valid

How coordinated is FurnMove?

Central Agent
Marginal Agents

	Success	Failed Pickups
FurnLift	0.6%	5.1 vs. 8.9
FurnMove	32.0%	

Joint Policy Summary



Central Model

65% task success 7% actions fail



Marginal Model

33% task success 65% actions fail

Joint Policy Summary



Image: Selection of the selec

Central Model

65% task success 7% actions fail SYNC Model

59% success rate 31% actions fail

Marginal Model 33% task success 65% actions fail

Qualitative runs

Field of view: Triangles denote field of view & orientation of agents

Trajectories:

- Agent 1 trajectory in red
- Agent 2 trajectory in green
- TV trajectory in blue
- Trajectory shades become *lighter* as episode progresses

Top-down view



Marginal Agents



SYNC Agents



How many mixtures components in SYNC?

Diminishing returns from additional mixture components

# Mixture Components	Success [↑]	Final Distance↓
1 component	33	1.83
2 components	50	1.23
4 components	57	1.08
13 components	59	1.15



Collaborative Embodied Agents

Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv

Takeaways

- FurnMove needs intricate coordination (high-rank joint)
- Independent and decentral execution \Rightarrow Rank-1
- SYNC-policies can capture a mixture-of-marginals



Collaborative Embodied Agents

Two Body Problem CVPR 2019

> SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

> > **GRIDTOPIX** arXiv

First collaborative embodied task – FurnLift
 Interpretation of emergent communication
 Effect of communication

Intricately coordinated embodied task – FurnMove
 Richer representation of multi-agent policy

Learning policies from minimal supervision
 Leveraging perfect-perception gridworlds for training

Findings about RL and Vision

(1) Visual Agents Need Shaped Rewards

'Shaped rewards' Dense indicators of success

- Furniture Lifting
 - Warm start with optimal actions
- Furniture Moving
 - Furn. moved closer to the goal
- PointGoal Navigation
 - Geodesic distance to goal
- Google Football
 - Checkpoint reward

Furniture Lifting (AI2-THOR)



PointGoal Navigation (Habitat+Gibson)



Furniture Moving (AI2-THOR)



3 vs. 1 with Keeper (Google Football)



Jain et al. CVPR 2019 Jain et al. ECCV 2020 Savva et al. ICCV 2019 / aihabitat.org Kurach et al. AAAI 2020

(2) Visual Agents Fail With Terminal Rewards

'Terminal rewards'

Goal dependent or success rewards available at termination of episode

General way to supervise complex policies





(3) RL Agents Work With Terminal Rewards

Perfect Perception!!

- Semantics are provided directly in the observations
- No perceptual reasoning is needed
- Current and previous positions of board pieces
- The cards in players' hands and previous moves
- Positions, state (open/close), and color



Silver et al. Science 2018 Bard et al. Al 2020 Lerer et al. AAAI 2020

Findings about RL and Vision

(1) Visual agents need shaped rewards

(2) Visual agents fail with terminal rewards

(3) Perfect-perception agents can learn from terminal rewards

Idea: GRIDTOPIX

- Create mirroring gridworlds for embodied environments
- Decoupling of planning and perception
 - Step 1: Learn planning in gridworlds
 - Step 2: Now learn perception in visual worlds

Create 'Perfect-Perception' Gridworlds





AI2THOR-Mirroring Gridworld

AlHabitat-Mirroring Gridworld

Positions and states of objects Can the furniture fit somewhere Any other semantics
Grid Experts Can Learn from Terminal Rewards







Decoupling of Planning and Perception **GRID AGENT** (teacher) PIX AGENT (student)

Decoupling of Planning and Perception







GRID AGENT (teacher)

PIX AGENT (student)

Decoupling of Planning and Perception





↑ act

Step=1



↑ act

Step=2



PIX AGENT (student)

Decoupling of Planning and Perception





. . .







PIX AGENT (student)



Test stage





Results

Terminal rewards do not work off-the-shelf.





Results

Terminal rewards via GRIDTOPIX work well.



Shaped rewardsTerminal rewards

Results

Shaped rewards via GRIDTOPIX is better than a direct training.







Collaborative Embodied Agents

Two Body Problem

CVPR 2019

SYNC Policies ECCV 2020

GRIDTOPIX

arXiv

Takeaways

- Study collaborative behavior in visual environments
- Explicit and implicit communication are helpful
- Emergence of interpretable communication pattern
- FurnMove needs intricate coordination (high-rank joint)
- Independent and decentral execution \Rightarrow Rank-1
- SYNC-policies can capture a mixture-of-marginals
- Visual RL agents crave dense and shaped rewards
- GRIDTOPIX leverages gridworlds for free supervision
- Improved results in terminal and shaped reward settings

Steps Forward







Chitnis et al. 2020 Jaques et al. 2018 Pathak et al. 2019

Thanks!



Alexander Schwing



Svetlana Lazebnik



Ali Farhadi (UW, Apple)



Angel Chang (SFU)



Aniruddha Kembhavi (AI2, UW)





Kristen Grauman (UT Austin, FAIR)



Luca Weihs (Al2)



Manolis Savva (SFU)